#### Nematode management in row crops

Zane Grabau zgrabau@ufl.edu @UFcropnematodes Row Crop Short Course Meeting March 1, 2018



#### Zane Grabau Introduction

- Started June 2016
- 70% research, 30% extension
- North Florida agronomic & horticulture crops
- Based in Gainesville
- Plant-parasitic nematode management
- Soil ecology: free-living (beneficial) nematodes





#### Outline

- Nematodes in row crops
- Nematode management
  - Resistance
  - Crop rotation
  - Nematicides

## Nematodes in row crop production: root-knot nematodes

- Wide host range; varies by RKN species
- Damaging and common, often late-season symptoms
- Worse in sandy soil
- Causes root galling
  - Size varies by crop









Root damage by root-knot nematode to soybeans, cotton, and peanuts



Foliar damage by root-knot nematode to soybeans, cotton, and peanuts



## Nematodes in row crop production: Sting nematode

- Most crops are hosts (may vary by population)
- Very damaging; no galling
- Only in very sandy soil (90%)
- Often early-season problem





## Nematodes in row crop production: Reniform nematode

- Affects cotton & soybean
- Subtle symptoms
- Heavier soil optimal (80% sand)
- Many other nematodes also infect row crops!





#### Outline

- Nematodes in row crops
- Nematode management
  - Resistance
  - Crop rotation
  - Nematicides

#### Nematode management: resistant cultivars

- Nematode reproduction reduced/eliminated
- Reduces damage, lowers
   nematode populations
- Pest-specific: mainly rootknot resistance available



#### Resistant cultivars by crop

- Peanut (highly resistant)
  - Tifguard (available)
  - Georgia 14N (available)
  - Tif Envy H/L (increase)
- Cotton (moderate-high)
  - Variety of root-knot resistant cultivars
- Soybean
  - Susceptible to all rootknot species
  - Some cultivars resistant; mainly cotton root-knot



#### Nematode management: rotation

- Grow non-host, nematodes decline, yields increase
- Sod-based rotation as example



Preplant nematode counts from Quincy research site

#### Nematode management: rotation



Preplant nematode counts from Quincy research site

## Crop rotation strategies for root-knot nematodes

- Cotton root-knot nematode
  - Avoid cotton, susceptible soybean, veggies
  - Better: peanut, some grasses (infects corn), resistant soybean
- Peanut root-knot nematode
  - Avoid peanut, susceptible soybean, veggies
  - Better: cotton, some grasses





#### Crop rotation strategies for other nematodes

- Reniform nematode
  - Avoid cotton, soybean, veggies
  - Most others non-hosts



- Sting
  - Wide host range
  - Avoid grasses



#### Outline

- Nematodes in row crops
- Nematode management
  - Resistance
  - Crop rotation
  - Nematicides

#### Nematode management: fumigant nematicides



- Telone
  - Availability?
- Vapam/Kpam, etc.
  - At lower rates, not consistent for nematodes
- Labelled for most crops

#### Nematode management: non-fumigant nematicides

Trade Name/ active ingredient	Labelled row crops (FL)	Maximum Rate	Timing	Application method
Velum Total (fluopyram)	Cotton, peanut	18 oz/A	At plant	In furrow spray
Propulse (fluopyram)	Peanut (as fungicide)	13.7 oz/A per application	At pegging	Foliar spray
AgLogic 15GG (aldicarb)	Peanut	7 lb/A preplant 10 lb/A pegging	<ol> <li>In furrow at plant</li> <li>Before pegging</li> </ol>	In furrow granular
	Cotton	7 lb/A preplant 5 lb/A postplant	<ol> <li>In furrow at plant</li> <li>3 weeks after</li> <li>planting to squaring</li> </ol>	In furrow granular
Vydate/Return (oxamyl)	Cotton, peanut	136 oz/A per year (5 apps)	<ol> <li>At-planting</li> <li>Post-emerge spray</li> </ol>	Ground/foliar spray
Counter 15G (terbufos)	Corn	8.7 lb/A	At plant	In furrow granular

#### NFREC-Quincy cotton nematicide trials

- Velum Total at different rates
  - With and without seed treatment
- Heavy reniform nematode
   pressure



• Yields affected by hurricane

## 2017 trials results at 46 days after planting



#### 2017 Trial 1 (COPeO+Aeris in all treatments)

2017 Trial 2

#### **Cotton Yield**



#### 2017 Trial 1 (COPeO+Aeris in all treatments)

#### 2017 Trial 2

#### Peanut and cotton on-farm nematicide trials



Jackson County, irrigated, sandy soils



				On-f	arm	beanu	ut nen	naticio	le tri	al 20	17		
	Leng	gth of f	ield about	1/2 m	iile (a	bout 1	L acre	per str	ip)			 	
												 •	
			Strip 1	No ner	naticid	e						11	
			Strip 2	Velum	Total ir	n-furrow	v (18 oz/	acre)					Rep 1
			Strip 3	Telone	II (3.5 I	b/acre;	rip it in)						
			Strip 4	AgLogi	c aldica	rb in-fu	rrow (7	b/acre)				Ļ	
			Strip 5	No ner	naticid	e							
			Strip 6	Telone	II (3.5 I	b/acre;	rip it in)						Replicate 2
			Strip 7	AgLogi	c aldica	rb in-fu	rrow (7	b/acre)					
			Strip 8	Velum	Total ir	n-furrow	v (18 oz/	acre)					
			Strip 9	Telone	II (3.5 I	b/acre;	rip it in)						
 			Strip 10	AgLogi	c aldica	rb in-fu	rrow (7	b/acre)					Replicate 3
			Strip 11	Velum	Total ir	n-furrow	v (18 oz/	acre)				_	
			Strip 12	No ner	naticid	e						_	
			Strip 13	AgLogi	c aldica	rb in-fu	rrow (7	b/acre)					
			Strip 14	No ner	naticid	e							Replicate 4
			Strip 15	Velum	Total ir	n-furrow	v (18 oz/	acre)				•	
			Strip 16	Telone	II (3.5 I	<mark>b/acre;</mark>	rip it in)					↓	Strips are 6 rows
 	←→ 											 	per strip. Twin rows
 50' s	ectior	n used	tor										
nema	atode	cour	its										

#### On-farm trial: moderate root-knot nematode pressure



#### Nematode counts and yield



#### September 2017

# On-farm peanut nematicide trial return on investment

 Table 1. Nematicide application economics based on 2017 on-farm nematicide trial. All values are on a per-acre basis

	Peanut yield	Yield increase vs.	Revenue	Product	Income	
Treatment (rate)	(lb/a)	untreated	increase <sup>†</sup>	cost§	increase	
Velum Total (18 oz/a)	4262	566	\$120	\$34	\$86	
Telone (3.5 gal/a)	4224	528	\$112	\$70	\$42	
AgLogic 15GG (7 lb/a)	4109	413	\$88	\$42	\$46	
Untreated	3696	-	-	-	-	

<sup>†</sup>Revenue increase is based on \$0.212/lb or \$424/ton for runner peanuts

§ Assumes Telone costs of \$20/gallon, AgLogic at \$6/lb, and Velum at \$1.89/oz

#### On-farm cotton nematicide trial



September 2017

## On-farm cotton nematicide trial return on investment

 Table 1. Nematicide application economics based on 2017 on-farm nematicide trial. All values are on a per-acre basis

	Seed cotton	Yield increase	Total Revenue	Product	Income
Treatment (rate)	yield (lb/a)	vs untreated	increase	cost§	increase
Velum Total (18 oz/a)	2706	272	\$90	\$34	\$56
Telone (3.5 gal/a)	2611	177	\$59	\$70	-\$11
AgLogic 15GG (7 lb/a)	2686	252	\$83	\$42	\$41
Untreated	2434	-	-	-	-

<sup>†</sup>Revenue increase is based on \$0.70/lb for lint and \$0.10/lb for seed.

§ Assumes Telone costs of \$20/gallon, AgLogic at \$6/lb, and Velum at \$1.89/oz

#### Acknowledgements

- Extension Agents Ethan
   Carter & Mark Mauldin
- NFREC-Live Oak farm crew
- Lesley Schumacher & Eddie
   Shuker
- Funding from Bayer

#### **Questions?**

- Find the Grabau Lab on Twitter & Facebook:
   @UFcropnematodes
- zgrabau@ufl.edu



## UF UNIVERSITY of FLORIDA

#### 2017 Live Oak Peanut Nematicide trial

#### Treatments

- 1. Untreated control
- 2. Velum Total (fluopyram) in-furrow at planting
- 3. Velum in-furrow + Propulse (fluopyram) spray at pegging
- 4. AgLogic (aldicarb) in-furrow
- 5. AgLogic in-furrow+AgLogic at pegging
- 6. AgLogic in-furrow + Propulse (fluop. + prothio.) at pegging
- Light RKN pressure, ring nematode

#### Live Oak trial on July 18



#### Nematicide impacts on ring nematodes and yield



Nematode counts at 68 days after planting